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Abstract

Description logics are a family of knowledge representation languages that has become increasingly popular in planning. The main purpose of description logics in planning is to define abstract state features in a domain-general way for allowing to learn human-interpretable classifiers or regression models. After a brief review of the literature that uses description logics features in planning, we present DLPlan, a C++ and Python library for constructing and evaluating state features for planning based on description logics.

Introduction

Knowledge derived from a planning instance or a planning domain can be used to substantially speed up the solving process or even make instances or domains solvable without any search. We distinguish two types of knowledge: instance-specific and domain-general knowledge. Instance-specific knowledge is meaningful for only the single instance for which it was learned, and domain-general knowledge is meaningful for all instances from a domain.

In this paper, we use description logics (Baader et al. 2003) to define domain-general knowledge (Jiménez, Segovia-Aguas, and Jonsson 2019). Description logics were used in planning to learn generalized policies (Martín and Geffner 2000, 2004; Fern, Yoon, and Givan 2004; Yoon, Fern, and Givan 2008; Francès, Bonet, and Geffner 2021; Ståhlberg, Bonet, and Geffner 2022a,b), abstract actions (Bonet and Geffner 2018), unsolvability heuristics (Ståhlberg, Francès, and Seipp 2021), progress states for greedy best-first search (Ferber et al. 2022), generalized heuristics (Francès et al. 2019; Yoon, Fern, and Givan 2008; de Graaff, Corrêa, and Pommerening 2021), and policy sketches (Drexler, Seipp, and Geffner 2022). These works use description logics to define abstract domain-general state features. To provide a solid, unified code base for future research in this direction, we present a C++ and Python library for defining and evaluating state features based on description logics.

Background

We describe planning, state spaces, and description logics drawing on the notation from Drexler, Seipp, and Geffner (2022) and Baader et al. (2003).

Planning

A planning problem or instance, is a pair $P = (D, I)$ where $D$ is a first-order domain consisting of a set of predicates $F$ and a set of action schemas over $F$, and $I$ is instance-specific information consisting of a set of objects $O$, a description of the initial situation $Init$ and the goal $Goal$. Instantiating the predicates in $F$ with objects from $O$ induces the set of atoms $A$. A state $s$ is a set of atoms, and we say that an atom $a$ is true in $s$ iff $a \in s$. The action schemas and atoms $A$ induce a set of ground actions.

Each planning problem $P$ induces a state space $S(P) = \langle S, s_0, G, L, T \rangle$, where $S$ is the set of all states over atoms $A$, $s_0 \in S$ is the initial state describing $Init$, $G$ is the set of goal states where $Goal$ is true, $L$ is the set of labels for ground actions, and $T \subseteq S \times L \times S$ is a set of transitions, i.e., $(s, l, s') \in T$ iff applying the action with label $l$ in $s$ results in state $s'$.

Description Logics

Description logics (Baader et al. 2003) are a family of languages to represent knowledge. This paper uses description logics to represent knowledge derived from states in planning problems. In a description logic, there is a set of objects $\Delta$, a set of concepts, and a set of roles.

The concepts and roles are expressions describing unary and binary relations over $\Delta$, respectively. Both types of expressions are built recursively, starting from a small set of primitive concepts and roles, giving rise to composite concepts and roles. Most description logics are more expressive than propositional logic but less general than two-variable first-order logic.

The DLPlan Library

The DLPlan library (Drexler, Francès, and Seipp 2022) implements the basic types for predicates, objects, atoms, states, and state spaces, as well as commonly used description logics concepts and roles, and additional Boolean and numerical features. A Boolean feature maps a state into the
Boolean domain, and a numerical feature maps a state into a natural number $\mathbb{N}_0$. From now on, we refer to concepts, roles, Booleans and numerical features simply as features. The interpretation of a feature depends on a given state $s$ under the following semantics. Consider nullary predicate $p_0$, unary predicate $p_1$, binary predicate $p_2$, concepts $C$, $D$, and roles $R$, $S$, and either concept or role $X$.

**Concepts**
- Universe $\Delta$ with $\Delta^s = 0$,
- Primitive $p_1$ with $(p_1)^s = \{a \in \Delta \mid p_1(a) \in s\}$,
- Top $\top$ and bottom $\bot$ with $\top^s = \Delta^s$, $\bot^s = \emptyset$,
- Intersection $C \cap D$, union $C \cup D$, and negation $\neg C$ with $(C \cap D)^s = C^s \cap D^s$, $(C \cup D)^s = C^s \cup D^s$, and $(\neg C)^s = \Delta \setminus C^s$.
- Value restriction $\forall R.C$ with $(\forall R.C)^s = \{a \mid \forall b : (a, b) \in R^s \rightarrow b \in C^s\}$,
- Existential quantification $\exists R.C$ with $(\exists R.C)^s = \{a \mid \exists b : (a, b) \in R^s \land b \in C^s\}$,
- Role-value-map $R \subseteq S$ and $R = S$ with $(R \subseteq S)^s = \{a \mid \forall b : (a, b) \in R^s \rightarrow (a, b) \in S^s\}$,
- Role-value-map $R = S$ with $\otimes (R = S)^s = \{a \mid \forall b : (a, b) \in R^s \leftrightarrow (a, b) \in S^s\}$,
- Nominal $a$ with $a^s = \{a\}$.

**Roles**
- Primitive $p_2$ with $(p_2)^s = \{(a, b) \mid p_2(a, b) \in s\}$,
- Top $\top$ with $\top^s = \Delta^s \times \Delta^s$,
- Intersection $R \cap S$, union $R \cup S$, and negation $\neg R$ with $(\neg R)^s = R^s \cap S^s$, $(R \cup S)^s = R^s \cup S^s$, $(\neg R)^s = \top^s \setminus R^s$,
- Inverse $R^{-1}$ with $(R^{-1})^s = \{(b, a) \mid (a, b) \in R^s\}$,
- Composition $R \circ S$ with $(R \circ S)^s = \{(a, c) \mid (a, b) \in R^s \land (b, c) \in S^s\}$,
- Identity id$(C)$ with $(\text{id}(C))^s = \{(a, a) \mid a \in C^s\}$,
- Transitive (reflexive) closure $R^+$, $R^*$ with $(R^+)^s = \bigcup_{n \geq 1}(R^n)^a$, $(R^*)^s = \bigcup_{n \geq 0}(R^n)^a$, $(R^*)^0 = (\text{id}(\Delta))^s$, $(R^*)^{n+1} = (R^*)^n \circ R^*$,
- Restrict $R|_C$ with $(R|_C)^s = R^s \cap (\Delta \times C^s)$.

**Example 1.** In the Delivery planning domain, there is a set of packages distributed over a fully-connected grid. The objective is to move all packages one-by-one to a single target location. Consider binary predicates at and at.g where at(p, l) is true iff package $p$ is at location $l$, and at.g(p, l) is true iff package $p$ has goal location $l$. The concept $f$ describing the set of undelivered packages can be defined as $f \equiv (\neg (\text{at} = \text{at.g})) \cap \text{package}$.

**Booleans**
- Empty$(X)$ with $\text{Empty}(X)^s$ is true iff $|X^s| = 0$,
- Nullary$(p_0)$ with $\text{Nullary}(p_0)^s$ is true iff $p_0() \in s$.

**Numericals**
- Count$(X)$ with $\text{Count}(X)^s \equiv |X^s|$,
- Distance$(C, R, D)$ with $\text{Distance}(C, R, D)^s$ is the smallest number $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that there are objects $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ with $c_i \in C^s$, $c_n \in D^s$, and $(c_i, c_{i+1}) \in R^s$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. If no $n$ exists then the result is $\infty$.

**Example 2.** Consider Example 1. The numerical $f'$ describing the number of undelivered packages can be defined as $f' \equiv \text{Count}((\neg (\text{at} = \text{at.g})) \cap \text{package})$.

The DLPlan library provides functionality for parsing features from plain text and manually building them incrementally using the feature constructors. The features are uniquely stored in a forest, in the sense that there are never two syntactically identical features. The uniqueness allows for caching feature evaluations for each state to drastically speed up the evaluation when (sub-)features are reused.

**Use Cases**
We now describe the three main use cases of the library.\(^1\)\(^2\)

**Generate First-order State Spaces**
DLPlan can generate and construct the full state spaces from a set of input files writing in the planning domain definition language (PDDL).

```python
state_space = generate_state_space("domain.pddl", "problem.pddl").state_space
```

**Evaluate Description Logics Features**
DLPlan can construct, parse, and efficiently evaluate domain-general state features based on description logics.

```python
factory = SyntacticElementFactory()
numerical = factory.parse_numerical("n_count (r_and (r_primitive(at_g,0,1), r_not (r_primitive(at_g,0,1))))")
value = numerical.evaluate(State(...))
```

**Generate Description Logics Features**
For a given set of instances from a planning domain, DLPlan can generate a pool $F$ of interesting domain-general features. To do so, DLPlan generates the state space and automatically derives $F$ consisting of features with complexity up to $k$. The complexity of an feature is the number of applied grammar rules. DLPlan constructs features incrementally by generating all possible syntactic compositions. To reduce the exponential blowup, it uses a collection of states to prune redundant features. A feature $f$ is redundant if a previously generated feature $f'$ has the same feature valuation as $f$ for all the given states.

```python
feature_strings = generate_features(factory, state_space.get_states().values(), k, ...)
```

**Conclusions**
We presented a brief overview of use cases for description logics state features in planning and showed how to address them with our simple and powerful DLPlan library.

\(^1\) Link to demonstration video: tinyurl.com/2p96j4hj
\(^2\) Link to Colab notebook: https://tinyurl.com/2p8x3kdv
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